



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.USPTO.GOV

MAILED Paper No.

MAY 25 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

OCCHIUTI ROHLICEK & TSAO, LLP
10 FAWCETT STREET
CAMBRIDGE MA 02138

In re Application of :
Morrow et al. :
Application No. 10/581,759 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 26, 2007 : PURSUANT TO
Attorney Docket No.: 50051- : 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(c)
002US1 :
Title: OLIGOSACCHARIDE :
COMPOSITIONS AND USE THEREOF IN :
THE TREATMENT OF INFECTION :

This is a notice regarding your request for acceptance of a fee deficiency submission pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.28, received on February 22, 2010. On September 1, 1998, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(c) is the sole provision governing the time for correction of the erroneous payment of the issue fee as a small entity. See DH Technology v. Synergystex International, Inc. 154 F.3d 1333, 47 USPQ2d 1865 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 1, 1998).

The Office no longer investigates or rejects original or reissue applications under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56. 1098 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 502 (January 3, 1989). Therefore, nothing in this notice is intended to imply that an investigation was done.

Petitioner has identified the particular type of fees that were erroneously paid as a small entity, when the small entity fees were actually paid, the small entity fees that were actually paid, the deficiency owed amounts, and the total deficiency payment owed.

Your fee deficiency submission pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(c) is hereby accepted. The petition is **GRANTED** accordingly.

The deficiency payment of \$2616 is acknowledged.

This application is no longer entitled to small entity status. Accordingly, all future fees paid in this application must be paid at the large entity rate.

The Technology Center will be notified of this decision.

Telephone inquiries regarding *this decision* should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225.¹ All other inquiries concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

/Paul Shanowski/
Paul Shanowski
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions

¹ Petitioner will note that all practice before the Office should be in writing, and the action of the Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.2. As such, Petitioner is reminded that no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for Petitioner's further action(s).